7. Estimated current local revenue collections and intergovernmental aid and collections and aid for preceding 10 years for city and county

		FY19 (Estimated Current)	FY18	FY17	FY16	FY15	FY14	FY13	FY12	FY11	FY10	FY09
City	Local revenues	24,449,716	23,998,270	23,015,586	22,457,708	20,477,927	20,132,410	19,744,456	19,920,848	20,377,667	19,739,069	20,249,189
	Intergovernmental aid	29,703,012	29,491,786	28,622,045	29,184,559	31,020,085	32,430,831	30,977,137	29,940,214	29,100,064	30,483,967	32,395,165
	Total local revenues +											
	intergovernmental aid	54,152,728	53,490,056	51,637,631	51,642,267	51,498,012	52,563,241	50,721,593	49,861,062	49,477,731	50,223,036	52,644,354
	Transfers in	1,935,368	3,479,752	1,845,097	5,191,579	4,440,920	5,357,541	3,062,864	4,256,202	2,957,692	3,096,834	3,773,941
County	Local revenues	51,386,277	47,021,005	43,589,008	43,632,278	43,279,071	42,804,549	41,920,490	41,343,066	40,278,061	41,821,412	40,796,410
	Intergovernmental aid	86,049,792	84,189,168	79,495,294	76,880,284	75,295,664	71,996,224	72,004,445	73,962,487	75,507,581	73,978,745	78,266,772
	Total local revenues + intergovernmental aid	137,436,069	131,210,173	123,084,302	120,512,562	118,574,735	114,800,773	113,924,935	115,305,553	115,785,642	115,800,157	119,063,182

Source: Martinsville local and intergovernmental revenues and Henry County local and intergovernmental revenues files

8. The identification of those services performed by the city that are proposed for assumption by the county as a result of the proposed transition, the number of customers or recipients of each service within the city that would be served by the county subsequent to the transition, and the aggregate annual cost to the county for the provision of services within the city

	Base Year Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Estimated aggregate annual cost to the county for provision of services within						
the city	31,287,234	32,081,779	32,948,675	33,880,519	34,859,509	35,856,977

Source: Expenditure impact of reversion - expenditure impact tab

Components of Base Year Operating Costs:

Carryover from City - Governmental Activities	14,153,436
Carryover from City - School Board Activities	16,692,310
Added costs to County departments	441,488

Total 31,287,234

In addition, one time expenditures of \$1,310,000 are expected upon reversion

Source: Expenditure impact of reversion - expenditure impact tab

13. Estimates of the annual amount of tax and nontax revenues to be collected by the county within the municipality subsequent to the proposed transition

	Base Year					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Estimated tax revenues to be collected within the municipality	6,666,081	6,701,935	6,825,474	6,991,870	7,175,971	7,364,999
Estimated nontax revenues to be collected within the municipality	1,598,051	1,638,898	1,680,861	1,723,973	1,768,265	1,813,774
Total tax and nontax revenues to be collected within the municipality	8,264,132	8,340,833	8,506,335	8,715,843	8,944,236	9,178,773

Notes:

The amounts presented include the general fund, the school operating fund and the school cafeteria fund. The transfer from the local government to the school division has been eliminated in computation of these amounts

Source: Revenue impact of reversion - revenue impact tab

14. Estimates of the annual additional amount of intergovernmental aid to be received by the county as a result of the proposed transition

	Base Year Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Estimated additional intergovernmental aid	20,475,595	21,089,309	21,712,674	22,350,676	22,991,418	23,621,783

Source: Revenue impact of reversion - revenue impact tab

15. An estimate of the net aggregate fiscal impact of the proposed transition on the county during the initial year subsequent to the transition and during each of the ensuing five years

Estimated net aggregate fiscal impact on county:

Year 1 (base year)	(2,547,507)
Year 2 (base + 1)	(2,651,637)
Year 3 (base + 2)	(2,729,666)
Year 4 (base + 3)	(2,814,000)
Year 5 (base + 4)	(2,923,855)
Year 6 (base + 5)	(3,056,421)

16. An estimate of the adjustment required in the county's real property tax rate, assuming that the net aggregate fiscal impact on the county resulting from the transition is addressed solely by an adjustment in the tax rate

Estimated adjustment in the county's real property tax rate on a cumulative basis (in cents)

Year 1 (base year)	8
Year 2 (base + 1)	8
Year 3 (base + 2)	8
Year 4 (base + 3)	8
Year 5 (base + 4)	9
Year 6 (base + 5)	9

One penny of real estate tax generates approximately \$333,889 of revenue at a 95% collection rate

17. An estimate of the net aggregate fiscal impact of the proposed transition on the city during the initial year subsequent to the transition and during each of the ensuing five years

Estimated net aggregate fiscal impact on city:

Revenue Expenditure Net
Year 1 (base year) (28,735,060) (31,777,314) 3,042,254

Source: Revenue impact of reversion and Expenditure impact of reversion - Martinsville column

18. An estimate of the adjustment required in the municipality's real property tax rate, assuming that the net aggregate fiscal impact on the city resulting from the transition is addressed solely by an adjustment in the tax rate

Estimated adjustment in the municipality's real property tax rate (in cents)

Year 1 (base year)

(51)

One penny of real estate tax generates approximately \$59,988 of revenue at a 95% collection rate

Α		D	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	Al .	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	BA	BB	BC
-		and Martinsv															7.54		7,0	- 11		_ ^v	WAA	DA	DD	BC
Chil	ldren's Serv	rices Act Tren	ds															\vdash								
																		\vdash		_		_				
			FY12		FY13		FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	\vdash	2 yr	3 vr	4 vr	Eve	7	Advading.		1945-14
				% Chg		% Chg		% Chg		% Chg		% Chg		% Chg		% Chg	1123	\vdash	2 yr.	2.41	4 91	5 yr	7 yr	Median	Lowest	Highes
	Martinsvill	le														70 tang		\vdash	-				_			
	CSA reve	enues	51,227	186.2%	146,616	-27.5%	106,284	1,1%	107,481	59.1%	171,032	17.9%	201,601	19.6%	241,134	51.5%	365,328		35.6%	29.7%	37.0%	29,8%	44.0%	10.50/	27 504	400
	CSA expe	enditures	68,901	228.7%	226,454	-37.0%	142,774	16.3%	166,117	52.2%	252,876	22.2%		33.0%	410,752	51.6%	622,748		42.3%	35.6%	39.7%	35.1%	52.4%	19.6%	-27.5%	186.2
														45.5.5	120,752	52.075	022,140	\vdash	42.570	33.0%	33.770	35.1%	52.4%	33.0%	-37.0%	228.7
	Net expe	enditure	(17,674)	-351.7%	(79,838)	54,3%	(36,490)	-60.7%	(58,636)	-39.6%	(81,844)	-31.1%	(107,334)	-58.0%	(169,618)	-51.8%	(257,420)	\vdash	-54.9%	-47.0%	-45.1%	-48.2%	-76.9%	-51.8%	254 70/	
													1-11-14	20.07	(105,010)	54.670	(237,420)		-34,376	-47.076	-43.1%	-46.2%	-/6.9%	-51,8%	-351.7%	54.3
	% reveni	ue to expendi	74%		65%		74%		65%		68%		65%		59%		59%	-	59%	61%	63%	63%	65%	65%	E00/	7.0
	Local sha	are	26%		35%		26%		35%		32%		35%		41%		41%	-	41%	39%	37%	37%	35%	35%	59%	74
															1270	_	7170		41/6	3370	37/0	37%	35%	35%	26%	41
	Henry Cou	nty																\vdash		-						
	CSA reve	nues	485,697	13.2%	549,791	4.2%	572,614	6.7%	611,144	-37.4%	382,567	26.8%	485,134	26.3%	612,563	57.0%	961,518		41.6%	36.7%	18.2%	15.9%	13.8%	13.2%	27.40/	57.0
	CSA expe	enditures	783,089	6.7%	835,777	4.5%	873,579	1.8%	889,579	-32.0%	605,204	26.3%	764,416	20.5%	920,936	56.6%		-	38.5%	34.5%	17.9%	14.7%	12.1%	6.7%	-37.4% -32.0%	57.0
															720,000	50,070	1,112,520		30,370	34.376	17.576	14,770	12.1%	6.7%	-52,U%	56,6
	Net expe	enditure	(297,392)	3.8%	(285,986)	-5.2%	(300,965)	7.5%	(278,435)	20.0%	(222,537)	-25,4%	(279,282)	-10.4%	(308,373)	-55.9%	(480,802)	\vdash	-33.2%	-30.6%	-17.9%	-12.8%	-9.4%	-5.2%	FF 00/	20.0
													(,)	20(,775	(000)575)	33.370	(400,002)		-33,270	-30.0%	-17.3%	-12.0%	-9.4%	-5.2%	-55.9%	20.0
	% revent	ue to expendi	62%		66%		66%		69%		63%		63%		67%		67%	-	67%	66%	65%	66%	66%	66%	62%	
	Local sha	эге	38%		34%		34%		31%		37%		37%		33%		33%		33%	34%	35%	34%	34%	34%		69
																	3370		3370	3470	3370	54%	34%	34%	31%	38
																					_					_
																		-+			_		_			
	Notes:																	-								
																								_		
	The tren	d applied to t	he revenue	was grow	th of 35%, 2	5%, 20%,	15% and 10)%										- 1								
	The trend applied to the expenditure was growth of 40%, 35%, 30%, 25%				30%, 25%, ar	nd 20%										-+		-								
	The city's experience was used for these annual growth estimates since the re		reversion	-affected p	pulation	is that of th	ne city						-		-											
																		-		_						
	Sources:																					_				
																		-		_		_				
	Martinsv	ille - General	Fund Sched	ules of Re	venues and	Expendit	ures - Budg	et and Ac	tual - Sched	ules 1 (re	venue) and	2 (expen	ditures)			-		-								
		ounty - CSA Go											THE PROPERTY.					-+								